## This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## **IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

## As rescanning documents *will not* correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.

	ed States Patent a	ND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER I P O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	Trademark Office FOR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/720,708	11/24/2003	Madjid F. Nakhjiri	CE09292R	5371
22917 7590 07/01/2004 MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD			EXAMINER	
			BAUGH, APRIL L	
IL01/3RD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			2141	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h

-

,

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Å
	10/720,708	NAKHJIRI ET AL.	JY
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	April L Baugh	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	ss
<ul> <li>A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION</li> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mate earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	N. t 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comm BBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application $\mathbb{Z}$	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 November 2003</u> i			er.
Applicant may not request that any objection to t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form PIO-	152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	onto have been received		
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority docum</li> </ol>		Application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the phony docum			ae
application from the International Bur			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.	
Attachment(s)			
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌 Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	/08) 5) 🛄 Notice of 6) 🛄 Other:		~)
raper we(3)/wai bate			

\_\_\_\_\_

----

11

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim1-10 & 17-32 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by US

2002/0046277 to Barna et al.

Regarding claim 1, Barna et al. teaches a method for point-to-point protocol (PPP) link

handoff comprising: communicating, by a source access router (AR), with a remote unit via a

PPP communication link, wherein PPP context information is associated with the PPP

communication link; determining that a PPP link handoff from the source AR to a target AR

should occur; and conveying the PPP context information to the target AR (page 2, section 0015-

0016, page 3, section 0027, and page 4, section 0034-0037).

Regarding claim 17, Barna et al. teaches a method for point-to-point protocol (PPP) link handoff comprising: receiving, by a target access router (AR), PPP context information from a source AR; and establishing, by the target AR, a PPP link between the target AR 5 and a remote unit using the PPP context information (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0034-0037).

Regarding claim 27, Barna et al. teaches a source access router (AR) comprising: a network interface; and a processor, communicatively coupled to the network interface, adapted to communicate with a remote unit via a PPP communication link via the network interface, wherein PPP context information is associated with the PPP communication link, adapted to determine that a PPP link handoff from the source AR to a target AR should occur, and adapted to convey the PPP context information to a target AR via the network interface (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0034-0037).

Regarding claim 29, Barna et al. teaches a target access router (AR) comprising: a network interface; and a processor, communicatively coupled to the network interface, adapted to receive, via the network interface, PPP context information from a source AR and adapted to establish, via the network interface, a PPP link between the target AR and a remote unit using the PPP context information (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0034-0037).

Regarding claim 2, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 1, further comprising conveying traffic information via a tunnel between the source AR and the target AR (page 2, section 0016 and page 4, section 0037).

Referring to claim 3, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 2, wherein conveying the PPP context information and conveying the traffic information occur concurrently (page 2, section 0016 and page 4, section 0035 and 0037).

Regarding claim 4, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 2, further comprising: determining when the tunnel between the source AR and the target AR will expire based on a tunnel lifetime; and extending the lifetime of the tunnel in order to convey the PPP context information (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0034 and 0036).

Referring to claim 5, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein conveying the PPP context information comprises conveying the PPP context information when a period of low remote unit data activity begins (page 4, section 0035-0036).

Regarding claim 6, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein PPP context information comprises timer information used for PPP operation (page 1, section 0008 and page 4, section 0035-0036).

Regarding claim 7, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 1, wherein conveying the PPP context information comprises conveying only types of PPP context information that are applicable to the target AR (page 4, section 0035-0036).

Regarding claim 8, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 7, further comprising requesting, by the source AR, target AR capabilities from the target AR (page 4, section 0034-0035).

Referring to claim 9, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 7, further comprising sending, by the source AR, an indication of which types of context information are being conveyed (page 4, section 0035-0036).

Regarding claim 10, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 7, further comprising maintaining, by the source AR, a record of the target AR's capabilities (page 4, section 0034-0035).

Referring to claim 18, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 17, further comprising negotiating, by the target AR with the remote unit, PPP parameters not received by the target AR from the source AR (page 5, section 0040-0043).

Regarding claim 19, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 18, further comprising: determining that at least a portion of the PPP context information is not applicable to the target AR; and negotiating, by the target AR with the remote unit, PPP parameters corresponding to the PPP context information determined to not be applicable to the target AR (page 5, section 0040-0043).

Referring to claim 20, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 17, further comprising sending, by the target AR, capabilities of the target AR to the source AR (page 4, section 0034-0035).

Regarding claim 21, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 17, wherein the beginning of a period of low remote unit data activity triggers establishing the PPP link (page 4, section 0035-0036).

Referring to claim 22, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 17, further comprising receiving traffic information via a tunnel between the source AR and the target AR (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0037).

Regarding claim 23, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 22, further comprising determining when the tunnel will expire based on a tunnel lifetime, wherein establishing the PPP link comprises establishing the PPP link based on when the tunnel will expire (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0034 and 0036).

Referring to claim 24, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 22, further comprising determining when the tunnel will expire based on a tunnel lifetime and extending the lifetime of the tunnel in order to establish the PPP link before the tunnel expires (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0034 and 0036).

Regarding claim 25, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 22, further comprising: establishing a network layer link between the target AR and the remote unit using the PPP link (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0034-0036).

Referring to claim 26, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 25, further comprising: tearing down the tunnel between the source AR and target AR after establishing the network layer link (page 4, section 0037).

Regarding claim 28, Barna et al. teaches the source AR of claim 27, the processor is further adapted to convey traffic information via a tunnel between the AR and the target AR (page 2, section 0015-0016 and page 4, section 0034-0035).

Referring to claim 30, Barna et al. teaches the target AR of claim 29, the processor is further adapted to negotiate, with the remote unit via the network interface, PPP parameters not received by the target AR from the source AR (page 5, section 0040-0043).

Regarding claim 31, Barna et al. teaches the target AR of claim 29, wherein the target AR comprises a packet data serving node (PDSN) (page 3, section 0027).

Regarding claim 32, Barna et al. teaches the target AR of claim 29, wherein the target AR comprises a GPRS gateway support node (GGSN) (page 3, section 0027).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 11-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 2002/0046277 to Barna et al. in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding claim 11, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 7, wherein conveying the PPP context information (page 4, section 0035-0036).

Barna et al. does not teach of sending parameters. AAPA teaches sending parameters selected from the group consisting of SYNC-MAP, PROTOCOL\_FIELDCOMPRESSION, ADDRESS FIELD COMPRESSION, MRU, Magic number, Van Jacobson Header Compression, AUTH TYPE, the target AR Internet Protocol (IP) Address, Mobile IP (MIP) Flag, PPP in-activity timer, and PPP session timer (page 3, lines 10-20). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method of PPP link handoff of Barna et al. by sending parameters selected from the group consisting of SYNC-MAP, PROTOCOL\_FIELDCOMPRESSION, ADDRESS FIELD COMPRESSION, MRU, Magic number, Van Jacobson Header Compression, AUTH TYPE, the target AR Internet Protocol (IP) Address, Mobile IP (MIP) Flag, PPP in-activity timer, and PPP session timer because the above options are negotiated to establish a new PPP link between a mobile user and a new PDSN and therefore sending these parameters to the new PDSN eliminates some or all of the negotiation process and thus reduce setup time and bandwidth that must be allocated to exchange negotiation messages.

Regarding claim 12, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 7, wherein conveying the PPP context information (page 4, section 0035-0036).

Barna et al. does not teach sending only link control parameters and network control parameters. AAPA teaches sending only link control parameters and network control parameters

(page 2, lines 5-9 and page 3, lines 21-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method of PPP link handoff of Barna et al. by sending only link control parameters and network control parameters because the above options are negotiated to establish a new PPP link between a mobile user and a new PDSN and therefore sending these parameters to the new PDSN eliminates some or all of the negotiation process and thus reduce setup time and bandwidth that must be allocated to exchange negotiation messages.

Regarding claim 13, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 7, wherein conveying the PPP context information (page 4, section 0035-0036).

Barna et al. does not teach sending only link control parameters and authentication parameters. AAPA teaches sending only link control parameters and authentication parameters (page 2, lines 5-19). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method of PPP link handoff of Barna et al. by sending only link control parameters and authentication parameters because the above options are negotiated to establish a new PPP link between a mobile user and a new PDSN and therefore sending these parameters to the new PDSN eliminates some or all of the negotiation process and thus reduce setup time and bandwidth that must be allocated to exchange negotiation messages.

Referring to claim 15, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 7, wherein conveying the PPP context information (page 4, section 0035-0036).

Barna et al. does not teach sending link control parameters, authentication parameters, and network control parameters. AAPA teaches sending link control parameters, authentication parameters, and network control parameters (page 2, lines 5-24). Therefore it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method of PPP link handoff of Barna et al. by sending link control parameters, authentication parameters, and network control parameters because the above options are negotiated to establish a new PPP link between a mobile user and a new PDSN and therefore sending these parameters to the new PDSN eliminates some or all of the negotiation process and thus reduce setup time and bandwidth that must be allocated to exchange negotiation messages.

Regarding claim 14, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 13, wherein a header compression scheme supported by the target AR is not known by the source AR to match a header compression scheme used by the source AR for the PPP communication link (page 4, section 0034-0037).

Referring to claim 16, Barna et al. teaches the method of claim 15, wherein a header compression scheme supported by the target AR is known by the source AR to match a header compression scheme used by the source AR for the PPP communication link (page 4, section 0034-0037).

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to PPP link handoff in general: Lim and Crosbie.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April L Baugh whose telephone number is 703-305-5317. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal D Dharia can be reached on 703-305-4003. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALB

**JUPAL DHARIA** SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER